

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re JDS UNIPHASE CORPORATION
SECURITIES LITIGATION

No. C 02-1486 CW

ORDER REGARDING
CHALLENGED
STATEMENTS

In their joint pre-trial conference statement, the parties indicate that they dispute whether five challenged statements are forward-looking and therefore potentially protected under the safe harbor in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act (PSLRA) of 1995 or the judicially-created "bespeaks caution" doctrine. See 15 U.S.C. § 78u-5(c)(A)(I); In re Worlds of Wonder Sec. Litiq., 35 F.3d 1407, 1413 (9th Cir. 1994).

The PSLRA defines the phrase "forward-looking statement" to include, among other things, a projection of revenues, income, earnings per share, capital expenditures, dividends, capital structure or other financial items. See 15 U.S.C. § 78u-5(i)(1)(A). A statement of the assumptions underlying such projections is also a forward-looking statement. See id. § 78u-5(i)(1)(D). Case law applying the bespeaks-caution doctrine defines "forward-looking statement" in a similar manner. See,

¹ e.g., *Worlds of Wonder*, 35 F.3d at 1414 (doctrine applies to future
² projections, estimates and forecasts).

The Court has reviewed the disputed statements and finds that no genuine and disputed issues of material fact exist regarding their classification as forward-looking or not. The Court hereby rules that statements two and three are forward-looking and that statements eleven, twenty-six and thirty-seven are not forward-looking.¹

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Claudia Wilken

Dated: 10/10/07

Claudia Wilken
United States District Judge

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

¹The Court refers to the alleged false or misleading statements according to the statement numbers assigned by the parties in exhibit A to the joint pre-trial conference statement.